

Application No: **09/677,493**


Appeal No: **2009-1164**

Filing Date: 10/02/2000

Application Title: "Integrated Database Data Editing System"

Applicant: George Guang Yang (Previous used name: Guang Yang)

Examiner: **Baoquoc N. To**, Art Unit 2162



392 Hans Way  
San Jose, CA 95133  
Tel: (408) 729-1282

E-mail: guangyang14@hotmail.com

March 27, 2009

Mr. Baoquoc N. To  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### **Petition to Grant My Patent Application**

Dear Mr. To,

I, George Guang Yang, independent inventor and appellant, filed my patent application, INTEGRATED DATABASE DATA EDITING SYSTEM, in your office on October 2, 2000 (#09/677,493). My patent application Claims 1-7 were finally rejected by your "Office Action Summary" and "Detailed Action" dated November 23, 2007 and mailed to me on November 28, 2007. My "Appeal Brief" was filed at your office on March 4, 2008, and my "Reply Brief" was filed on June 24, 2008. It has taken about nine years now since I filed my application at your office on October 2, 2000. I request your office to exam and grant my patent application as soon as possible.


My patent application is original and very useful, and is patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 101. My Claims 1-7 are totally different from the prior arts of Gill et al. (US Patent No. 6,005,560), Bowman-Amuah (US Patent No. 6,256,773), Allport (US Patent No. 6,104,334), Koppolu et al. (US Patent No. 5,801,701), Moursund (US Patent No. 5,644,739), Teper et al. (US Patent No. 5,815,665) and any previous inventors. The fact that your office actions rejected my patent application Claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. §

103(a) does not have any factual merit or legal basis and should be reversed. My Claims 1-7 should be allowed by your office as soon as possible.

I have communicated many times with your office and explained that my patent application Claims 1-7 are totally different from any of the prior arts and are patentable. I have sent my response letters and appeals to your office on 06/21/2008, 03/01/2008, 01/11/2008, 09/10/2007, 06/04/2007, 01/05/2007, 09/07/2006, 07/27/2006, 03/06/2006, 03/15/2005, 09/27/2004, 02/19/2004, 01/05/2004, 05/29/2003, 02/27/2003, 02/05/2003, 01/31/2003 and 08/12/2002, correspondently to respond your office actions mailed to me on 05/28/2008, 02/20/2008, 11/28/2007, 09/06/2007, 05/18/2007, 12/15/2006, 08/18/2006, 06/06/2006, 02/14/2006, 03/04/2005, 02/12/2004, 08/12/2003, 05/21/2003, 02/13/2003 and 08/01/2002. All of my replies were timely with sufficient fees and complied with the patent laws, and filed by your office without any dispute. I have also had several telephone conversations and exchanged emails many times regarding my patent application with the examiner, Mr. Baoquoc N. To.

My patent application is very significant in both technology and economy fields. Once it is approved and implemented as commercial software products, it will play a very significant role to make progress for our "Hi-Tech" industry and to prosper our economy. It has taken about nine years now to process my patent application in your office since I filed my application on October 2, 2000, which has consumed about half of my productive years in my life. The fact that delaying my patent application has ruined not only my career and my personal life but also deterred our "Hi-Tech" industry and caused the current global economic crisis. Now, I have being survived by taking the Federal Social Security Benefit Program for depression for six years now. I request your office to reverse the final rejection of my patent Claims 1-7 and to grant my patent as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "George G. Yang". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "George" and last name "Yang" clearly legible. The middle initial "G." is also present.

George Guang Yang, Ph.D.

Independent Inventor/Appellant